Interactional Competence of Japanese SHS Students:

Comparing Two Dyads with Different Proficiency Levels

Tomoko Hirose

Akita International University English Language Teaching Practices

Interactional Competence

Interactional competence (IC) is "the ability to use the various interactional resources, such as doing turntaking or dealing with problems of understanding" (Wong & Waring, 2010, p.7).

Communicative competence refers to "the ability to communicate functionally and interactively" (Wong & Waring, 2010, p.7).

It is important for language learners to develop IC and other components of communicative competence at the same time. IC is made of interactional practices, which are turn-taking practices, sequencing practices, overall structuring practices, and repair practices. (Wong & Waring, 2010)

Background

MEXT (2009): High school English classes should be taught in English to maximize students' exposure to English in class.

My classroom practice:

Pair or group works do not go as planned.

- 1) Tasks turn out to be too demanding to do in English.
- Students do not use English even when they can.
- <Things to improve>
- Give tasks that students can manage in English.
- 2) Give students purpose of the activity clearly.
- Help students to enhance their interaction in English.

HOW!??

Finding-1

Turn-taking practices

Higher-proficiency dyad showed projectability.

- ☐ Higher-proficiency students overlapped differently from the other students.
- H: High engagement
 - Backchannels
 - Positive assessments
 - Taking the floor
- L: Interview style

Backchannels (mostly in Japanese)

- Turn-taking practice: way of constructing a turn and allocating a turn in talk-in-interaction (Wong & Waring, 2010)
- Projectability: an essential feature of the TCU that allows the recipients to determine when they can appropriately start or continue with their own talk. English allows relatively early projectability for its SVO structure. (Wong & Waring, 2010; Markee, 2000)

Finding-2

Communication Strategies (CSs)

Higher-proficiency dyad used richer varieties of CSs more tactfully.

- ☐ Both dyads used CSs, but lessproficiency students did not handle CSs as skillfully as the other dyad.
- CSs: "interlocutors' negotiation behavior for coping with communication breakdowns and their use of communication enhancers." (Nakatani, 2010, P.118)
- Nakatani (2010) found that the highscoring students in the speaking test in his research have shown the use of response for maintenance strategies (providing active response, shadowing), higher production rate, then signals for negotiation, and the result of the oral pretest scores.

Data Set 1

Higher-proficiency pair talking about food

Excernt 1

EXC	Excerpt 1					
128	_ A	how taste. like. how does it taste like?				
129	В	ah:: 个everyone says ik everyone explains is like it u::m it taste like				
130		okonomi[yaki?				
131	Α	[u::n				
132	В	but [I don't think] << like that>>				
133	Α	[what's difference]				
134	В	because it's so thin?=				
135	Α	=>>uh huh<<				
136	В	and like it's not it's not ko okonomiyaki and I don't think it's				
137		similar [() okonomiyaki because I can't describe how is [it but				
138	Α	[u::n [ah::				
139	В	u::m. yeah. it has ah:: inside of <i>perayaki</i> ?=				
140	Α	=>>uh huh<<=				
141	В	it also has ah:: tosashimi[zu food?				
142	Α	[u::n				
143	В	ah:: shimizusaba=				
144	Α	=>>shimizusaba<< [ah:: that's cool				
145	В	[° ah::° and like <i>katsuobushi</i> ? [ah <i>sodabushi</i> ?=				
146	Α	[>>uh huh<<				
147		=>>uh huh<<=				
148	В	=from tosashimizu? so in a in perayaki? ah every the dishes were				
149		from [° tosashimizu.°				
150	Α	[u::n but unfortunately I::< <don't <u="" like="">fish.>>=</don't>				
151	В	↑REALLY=				
152	Α	=I'm \$\$sorry yeah [>>but I can eat << tuna,				
153	В	[° e°				
154		only tuna.				
155	Α	a::nd < <bonito.>></bonito.>				
156	В	[ah::				
157	Α	[like that or salmon. >>but I cannot<< < <sa::ba::.>> >>sorry<< ah</sa::ba::.>				
158	В	↑really=				
159	Α	=yeah				
160	В	°ah maybe you should try more°				
161	Α	u::n. what food you don't like.				
162	В	(1.5) [I don't like I				
163	Α	[is there any food is there any food you hate? [no?				
164	В	[I like every				
165		fo[od				
166	Α	[oh:: that's that's nice				
167	В	hh				
168	Α	can you eat piman?				

Data Set 2

Less-proficiency pair talking about shopping

Excerpt 2

027	Α	is there u::m convenience store?
028	В	yes one [convenience store
029	Α	[oh
030	Α	um
031	В	[hh
032	Α	[hh u::n do you have supermarket?
033	В	yes [so small "supermarket?"
034	Α	[oh a::h
035	В	but my town has < big>> u::::n "ekittenanteiundakke"
036	Α	ah station=
037	В	=∱station
038	Α	un un
039	В	so:: many fresh fruits [>>vegetable fish<< [so it's very popular in
040	Α	[hee:: [hee::
041	В	my town? [so
042	Α	[fu::n un un
043	В	yes

Excerpt 3						
075	Α	un un (1.5) [hh u::nto				
076	В	[hh				
077	Α	[hh \$unn\$ \$tyottomatte\$				
078	В	hh ah				
079	Α	un				
080	В	[°] naniga= [°]				
081	Α	=yeah i u::n last year, i like >>i like << bts? but now i don't listen				
082		[° to music°				
083	В	[u::n why				
084	Α	↑Ah:: [hh				
085	В	[hh				
086	Α	ah:: ah:: i:: was ah:: i am not >>interested in.[<< them \$now\$ [hh				
087	В	[<i>fu::n</i> [ah::				
088	Α	()yakedo.				
089	В	ah::				
090	Α	yeah				
091	В	°ok°				
092	Α	(2.5) [u::n				
093	В	[u::n				
094	Α	un				
095	В	how was your (2.0) class today?				
096	Α	ah classu?				
097	В	yes				
098	Α	yeah. it was ah (2.0) at one one? first um? hh ee? firsto machigaeta				
099		mistake mistake				
100	В	hh [iiyo				
101	Α	[ne ah at at class, i >>saw many<< pictures?				
102	В	u::n				

References

Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology, Japan. (2009). koutougakkou gakusyushidouyouryou kaisetsu gaikokugo hen eigo hen [Course of study for high school foreign language (English) education]. Retrieved from http://www.mext.go.jp/component/a_menu/education/micro

[ah:: >>°that's good°<<

Markee, N. (2000). Conversation Analysis. NJ. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Nakatani, Y. (2010). Identifying Strategies That Facilitate EFL Learners' Oral Communication: A Classroom Study Using Multiple Data Collection Procedures. The Modern Language Journal, 94(1), 116-136. Retrieved from www.jstor.org/stable/25612291

Wong, J., & Waring, H, Z. (2010) Conversation analysis and second language pedagogy: A guide for ESL / EFL teachers. NY: Routledge.